



Behavior Analysis of DC Networks: Failure Resiliency and Bandwidth Efficiency

Vahid Asghari and Mohamed Cheriet





Introduction

Data Centers (DCs) are important in today's life!

- In most of the cities, our life relies on the functioning and availability of one or multiple DCs.
- Governmental or private Services such as E-gov, E-health, E-banking







Problem Statement and Issues

> Failure resiliency is important.

- Resiliency is the ability of a server, storage system, or an entire DC, to recover quickly and continue operating even when there has been an equipment failure, power outage or other disruption.
- In Time-sensitive businesses, Hardware or Software failures mean lost money and loads of frustration.





Objectives and Motivations

Having an efficient resource utilization and management is important.

- DCs require a huge amount of resources!
- Engaging to almost any provided services!
- DCs have evolved from a passive element of compute infrastructure to become an active part of many ICT solutions, e.g., Virtualization Tech.
- Goal: Failure resilience with efficient resource utilization and management.



Literature Review

> Standard DC Topologies

- > FatTree, Bcube and MDCube and others.
- The topology features, e.g. Number of possible paths between nodes, available Interconnected BW between nodes.

> Failure Analysis

- Empirical analysis
- Probabilistic approach, e.g., using Weibull distribution.



MDCube

Methodology and Contributions

- First step, Study the impact of DC components' failure on the life-long operation
 - > Using Tanh characteristic function to model the failure behavior of each component type.
- > Failure Characteristics Modeling
 - Individual component failure profiling and Propose a Tanh-based Distribution function for Failure analysis





Failure Analysis

- > We only consider the failure behavior of the main components of network topology.
- > This a life-long failure analysis.
- > Performance Metrics:
 - Metrics: Relative Size (RS) vs Absolute Relative Size (ARS)



MDCube2D with 256 servers and 128 switches.

Results: Failure Analysis

Comparison between different topologies



Methodology and Contributions

Second Step:

 <u>Goal:</u> Investigating a group of DC network topologies with capability of Not only failure-resiliency, But to provide bandwidth efficiency required as a function of the active traffic.

Literature Review

> Modified Topologies:

 Classic topology issues, e.g. scalability, design restrictions

- > E.g., Modular DCs
 - COTS (commercial off the shelf)
 Switches
 - > Using High-speed interfaces





Objective and Motivation

- Concept of Topology-on-Demand (ToD)
 - > Handling temporal changes in the required topology.
 - > **BW-on-Demand**: Handling temporal changes in the required bandwidth



Methodology and Contributions

- >Modified BCube Topology:
 - > Goal: Providing Dynamic Bandwidth Efficiency
 - > Using high-speed interfaces, Various forms of Horizontally, Vertically, and Hybrid.





> Structural performance analysis:

- A significant increase in the achievable IBW values between the switch nodes.
- Confirms the effectiveness of the proposed modified topology.

Between	Classic BCube	Horizontal BCube	Vertical BCube	Hybrid BCube
$srv \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow srv$	$k_s B_{1G}$	$k_s B_{1G}$	$k_s B_{1G}$	$ m k_s~B_{1G}$
$swc \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow swc$	$k_{1G} B_{1G}$	${f k_{10G}} B_{10G} \ + {f k_{1G}} B_{1G}$	$k_{10G} B_{10G} + (k_s - 1)(k_{1G} - 1) B_{1G}$	$ k_{10G} B_{10G} + k_{1G} B_{1G} + (k_s - 1)(k_{1G} - 1) B_{1G} $
$srv \leftarrow \cdots \rightarrow swc$	$k_s B_{1G}$	$k_s B_{1G}$	$ m k_s~B_{1G}$	$ m k_s~B_{1G}$

MINIMUM MAXIMAL MULTIPLE-PATH BANDWIDTH IN BCUBE TOPOLOGIES.

Results: Failure and IBW Analysis

 Performance improvement for Horizontal- and Hybrid-BCube topologies.



BW-on-Demand





– Thank you.